Coalition for National Science Funding

February 15, 2012

Dear Representative:

We write in opposition to the Grant Reform and New Transparency (GRANT) Act of 2011 (H.R. 3433) because as written this bill could have unintended adverse effects on the continued development of the scientific and engineering research enterprise and compromise the U.S. innovation system.

We are concerned about the provision in the GRANT Act that would require the posting of a complete copy of a funded grant proposal to a new government-wide website. Granting agencies, such as the National Science Foundation (NSF), receive proposals in confidence and protect the often proprietary nature of their contents. Reviewers are obligated to maintain the confidentiality of the proposal being reviewed and the review itself. Requiring a complete copy of a funded grant proposal to be available on a public website would seriously limit the ability of grant recipients to reap benefits from their own research. A proposal can contain intellectual property of the researcher and the institution that employs the researcher. The ideas and directions of research outlined are, in most cases, based on years of work. These ideas can also be the basis for other research performed by the proposer, including research that may not be funded by the federal government.

The recently passed America Invents Act (P.L. 112-29) has changed the patent process from a first-to-invent basis to a first inventor-to-file basis, which rewards the first person to file for a patent instead of the first person to think of the idea behind the patent. Posting grant applications will jeopardize researchers' patent opportunities thereby reducing incentives for technology transfer. Additionally, the public posting of U.S. researchers' ideas would enable competitors (including foreign scientists and industries) to steal cutting-edge American intellectual property – eroding our ability to stay at the forefront in critical scientific and engineering fields and of commercial production.

Instead of mandating the posting of funded grant proposals to a government website, we recommend that agencies require the posting of abstracts of funded proposals to the website. This would protect intellectual property while providing useful information about the research to taxpayers. In the case of a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of a proposal, agencies should be required to contact the researcher and her/his institution to allow for appropriate

Coalition for National Science Funding * 1527 Eighteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 * 202-588-1100

redacting of proprietary and other legitimately confidential information before making a copy of the funded grant proposal available. This practice has been employed by NSF and other agencies in the past.

We also oppose disclosure of peer reviewers, either by name or unique identifier. As written, the provision would allow identification of peer reviewers at the individual grant level in areas of research in which there are small numbers of scientists and engineers. An important characteristic of the current peer-review process is the anonymity of the reviewers. This process has helped to foster many seminal discoveries throughout all science, engineering, and mathematics disciplines and has contributed to the development of a significant cadre of first-rate researchers in all disciplines. The success of the peer-review process depends on the willingness of qualified reviewers to be candid and critical as needed in the evaluation of research proposals and, in fact, without the anonymity provided in the current process, many researchers would not be willing to review proposals.

Increased accountability and transparency are worthy goals, and we believe the federal science and engineering research grants process is currently very accountable and transparent, while also encouraging the best in our country to participate. H.R. 3433 would disrupt this balance and ultimately be detrimental to the U.S. science and engineering research enterprise. Thank you for considering our concerns.

Sincerely,

American Association for the Advancement of Science

American Association of Anatomists

American Association of Physics Teachers

American Astronomical Society

American Chemical Society

American Educational Research Association

American Geophysical Union

American Geosciences Institute

American Institute of Biological Sciences

American Institute of Physics

American Mathematical Society

American Physiological Society

American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

American Society for Engineering Education

American Society for Microbiology

American Society for Nutrition

American Society for Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics

American Society of Agronomy

American Society of Plant Biologists

American Sociological Association

American Statistical Association

ASME

Association for Psychological Science

Association for the Science of Limnology and Oceanography

Association of American Geographers

Association of American Medical Colleges

Association of Environmental & Engineering Geologists

Association of Population Centers

Biophysical Society

Columbia University

Computing Research Association

Consortium for Ocean Leadership

Consortium of Social Science Associations

Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science

Cornell University

Crop Science Society of America

Ecological Society of America

Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology

Federation of Associations in Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Geological Society of America

IEEE-USA

Indiana University

Kent State University

Linguistics Society of America

Materials Research Society

Mathematical Association of America

Medical College of Wisconsin

Michigan State University

National Association of Marine Laboratories

National Ecological Observatory Network

National Postdoctoral Association

New York Medical College

North Carolina State University

Oregon State University

Penn State University

Population Association of America

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

Society for Pediatric Research

Soil Science Society of America

SPIE, the International Society for Optics and Photonics

State University of New York

Stevens Institute of Technology

The American Association of Immunologists

The Ohio State University

The Optical Society

The Ornithological Council

University of California System

University of California, Berkeley

University of California, Davis

University of California, Irvine

University of California, Los Angeles

University of California, Merced

University of California, Riverside

University of California, San Diego

University of California, San Francisco

University of California, Santa Barbara

University of California, Santa Cruz

University of Delaware

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

University of Oregon

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Wayne State University

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution